NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE

THE MAC STAFF

22 December 1970



MEMORANDUM	FOR	DR.	McLUCAS	A

SUBJECT: STRAWMAN 4 (2737)

PURPOSE

To obtain concurrence in the continuation of the present plan to launch STRAWMAN 4 during April 1971.

BACKGROUND

On the 25th of November 1969, ExCom canceled	STRAWMAN o
which was presented as providing a one-year overla	p with
In January 1970, we nonconcurred in the	addition
of long-life batteries to STRAWMAN 4 at a cost of	8724K. The
proposed battery change would have resulted in a 1	5-18 month
EOB system life in contrast to the present life.	(Present
life estimates by the program office have ranged f	rom 6 to
greater than 12 months.) We also established a ST	RAWMAN
schedule at that time which had two 4-5 month gaps	in EOB
coverage Since th	at time
both STRAWMAN and schedules have slipped.	Now there
is only one gap between the end of the STRAWMAN ne	ogram and
the EOB operational date	50)//
	50X1
ALTERNATIVES (See TAB A)	

The following options present all the major strategies for STRAWMAN 4:

Option A - Present Program

the planned	dvantage -	No addi	ctional attempt	cost	due to	changes intercept	in to
Here we nother			,		ę		
D	isadvantag	e - Ovei	, rabb in a	s cove	rage be	tween	
STRAWMAN 4	and STRAWM	ĀNЗ.					

	A Please note	Commission and	t-pg 3.
EARPOP			Intownal
BYEMAN		TOP SECRET	1 2 1 3 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2

Option B - Slip STRAWMAN 4 Until STRAWMAN 3 Failure

Advantage - A launch on failure strategy would provide the greatest STRAWMAN system life.

Disadvantage - High cost; slip cost is \$20K/day and additional operational support is about \$15K/day. This option would provide coverage beyond that required for debugging.

Option C - Add C and X Band Capability to STRAWMAN 4 and SIip URSALA

Advantage - Eliminates overlapping coverage.

Disadvantage - Cost is about \$6M for slip and limited C and X band hardware plus an undetermined cost for slipping URSALA.

Option D - Cancel STRAWMAN 4

Advantage - Save \$5.68M NRO (\$4.4M in FY 72) 0.72M NSA \$6.40M

up to 2000 MHz will occur after useful life of STRAWMAN 3, DISCUSSION

Option A will over-kill the EOB requirement with over lapping coverage. Fortunately, the overlap coincides with the program. Both Options B and C result in costs that are not balanced out with improvements in coverage. Option D, cancel STRAWMAN 4, must be disregarded since the has been identified by USIB as the most important ELINT target.

RECOMMENDATION

General King has recommended Option B, launch STRAWMAN 4 on failure of STRAWMAN 3 (TAB B). He feels that such a strategy is cheap insurance

The Staff believes that the present schedule provides better coverage than that established a year ago; ExCom has not changed its position; and our confidence in and STRAWMAN is better. Thus, we cannot see why we should expend additional funds for additional coverage at the present time.





contact to $(1n10) \, mat$, and $(2) \, = \, 2$, where $(2) \, = \, 3$

Since the other options do not show a clear advantage over the present plan, I recommend that the message at the right be sent which continues STRAWMAN 4 on the present schedule.

It is further recommended that the performance of the spacecraft be reviewed just before the launch of STRAWMAN 4 to determine if a later launch is appropriate. The requirement, alone, justifies the 6.4M dollar cost to fly STRAWMAN 4. Only a failure of the spacecraft would justify a delay in the launch of STRAWMAN 4. Termination of STRAWMAN 4 would be justifiable if the is intercepted and if we are willing to gamble that the
Atchs TAB A, Options TAB B, CHARGE 5726 (BAF D-6-a)
OPINIONATED - THERE IS ALMAIS A "NEW REAVIREMENT TO JUSTIFM SPENDING MONEY OR TRYING TO KEEP A PROGRAMALIVE, SN VIEW OF NOVER EX COM DECUSOR, THERE CAN BE OTHER REASONS TO TERMINATE.



50X1

TOP SECRET

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING
DOD DIRECTIVE 5790 11 DOES NOT APPLY